Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/616,013	ZORZO, BRUNO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Harry A. Grosso	3727	
All Participants:	cipants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) Harry A. Grosso.	(3)		
(2) <u>Thomas Baker, Jr</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 30 March 2006	Time: <u>2:00 pm EST</u>	į	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No			
If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 7-9			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Do 411			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview 			
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
A A.h			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sign	gnature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Thomas agreed to change the term "lever arms" to "knee levers" in the claims to be consistent with the terminoloty in the specification. Mr. Baker agreed to editing of the title to "Devices for the Release of the Dividing Wall from Coupling wirh Reservoirs or Buckets. Mr. Baker agreed to change of the word "bucket" to "bottom" in the 14th line of claim 7. Abstract was replaced by wording agreed upon by Mr. Baker and Examiner Grosso...